UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,173	12/06/2006	Mark J. Nixon	06005/41124	6808
	7590 01/07/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
	ACKER DRIVE	BARNES-BULLOCK, CRYSTAL JOY		
CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,173	NIXON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Crystal J. Barnes-Bullock	2121	

	Crystal J. Barnes-Bullock	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	r, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this Adnoted no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount o hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec orresponding number of finally reje	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.114.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an example of the continuation of the	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	•	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
PTO-1449 Attached	/Crystal J. Barnes-Bullo Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to the claims raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Thurner et al. reference discloses a tree browser of the system, an editor for distributed workflows and/or data flows, a Web Based HMI GUI and a treeview of the basic objects to construct a system are shown. Other views (not displayed) enable the visualization, design and modification of business objects and business process, runtime GUIs, electrical and mechanical construction of the plant, diagnostic, maintenance, scheduling, information management, PLC-programming, batch design, recipe management, object mappings and project deployments. Views display different domain aspects of the manufacturing plant. All together the Multiple Coupled Browser Views of the present invention truly establishes a universal browser from business level to plant floor. In the first mode of operation, in more detail, provided by the present invention, the views are coupled Semantically. In the example given, a first coupled Group comprises the left view (L) with, for example, a Plant Hierarchy displayed, and the upper view (U) with, for example, the electrical wiring displayed corresponding to a cell of the Plant selected in the left view (L). In the example shown, there is provided a second coupled Group with a second Plant Hierarchy displayed in the right pane (R), a second electrical wiring diagram corresponding to a selected second cell of the second Plant Hierarchy in the middle pane (M) and, additionally, in the bottom plane (B) there is provided an electronic manual corresponding to second the electrical wiring diagram. In the particular Mode shown, there are two groups which are not coupled. Semantically coupled views could include the tree view of plant hierarchy, human machine interface of part of plant, physical plant layout, technological process view e.g. the brewing process, diagnostic view and documentation/help view, or any combination thereof. The advantage of providing a Semantic coupled group is that an Engineer can see different aspects of the same part of the plant at the same time and the workbench is taking over the work of refocusing. Similarly, and following the Semantic of the physical plant, a layout of the specific cell/unit/reactor which is responsible to execute the currently selected recipe procedure will automatically be displayed in the other view(s). The present invention can relate the diagnostic view of the specific cell/unit/reactor and the training manual on the specific cell/unit/reactor is visualized automatically while navigating through the recipe procedures. In this manner, maintenance of a Plant can be easily and quickly provided.

An Object Designer provides graphical design of objects in a number of different views, including Tree, Table, XML, and HTML views (see column 3 lines 45-47). The advantage of providing a Semantic coupled group is that an Engineer can see different aspects of the same part of the plant at the same time (see column 5 lines 15-17).

The specific cell/unit/reactor and the graphical design of objects taught by Thurner et al. reads on the graphic element and graphical element object, respectively, taught by the present application.